

2017

The Evolving Meaning of Supervision in the Changing Scholarly Context

Catheryn Khoo-Lattimore

Griffith University, [c.khoo-lattimore@griffith.edu.ac](mailto:c.khoo-lattimore@griffith.edu.au)

Elaine Chiao Ling Yang

Griffith University, elaine.ycl34@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.library.tru.ca/cts-proceedings>

 Part of the [Tourism and Travel Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Khoo-Lattimore, Catheryn and Chiao Ling Yang, Elaine (2017) "The Evolving Meaning of Supervision in the Changing Scholarly Context," *Critical Tourism Studies Proceedings*: Vol. 2017 , Article 77.

Available at: <http://digitalcommons.library.tru.ca/cts-proceedings/vol2017/iss1/77>

This Abstract is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @ TRU Library. It has been accepted for inclusion in Critical Tourism Studies Proceedings by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ TRU Library. For more information, please contact kgaynor@tru.ca.

Title: The Evolving Meaning of Supervision in the Changing Scholarly Context

Author: Catheryn Khoo-Lattimore & Elaine Chiao Ling Yang

Affiliation: Griffith University

Contact: c.khoo-lattimore@griffith.edu.ac

Session Type: Presentation

Abstract:

Despite the growing literature that examines supervision and the importance of relationships between the supervisor and the postgraduate student, our understanding of these relationships is still limited, particularly from a cultural aspect (Trudgett, 2014). In examining the resources on thesis writing and doctoral supervision, Dedrick and Watson (2002) highlighted the scarcity of discussion on the needs of female, minority, and international students. Johnson-Bailey (2004) echoes a similar concern, when she argues that women and people of colour are rarely considered in higher education contexts. Set against the framework of today's competitive research environment, this paper focuses on the meaning-making of a contemporary doctoral supervision relationship in Anglo-Saxon academe, underpinned by two major aspects of identity: gender and ethnicity.

This work will be presented at the conference as a dialogue, as we situate ourselves within our intellectual socialization context of tourism research. This context refers to the community of tourism researchers, of which we have been, and are being, socialized (Hall, 2004). In this context, we are two Asian women in a supervisor-supervisee relationship. Our relationship, not uncommon from many, began from a project for a Master's dissertation in a Malaysian institution. The opportunity for an academic position, and serendipitously, a doctoral scholarship in Australia, meant we were both able to continue working together in a new institution.

Arising from duo-ethnographic journal entries and subsequent conversations about these narratives, our 'data' is presented as reflexive considerations on the themes raised by previous scholars on the challenges that exist in supervisory relationships, which include personal (e.g., expectation and communication gaps), gender (e.g., sexism, implicit bias, and work-family balance), and structural (e.g., institutional structure and power distance/negotiation) dimensions (Brown & Watson, 2010; Deuchar, 2008; Hemer, 2012). In these conversations, we critique the existing discourse on supervision by providing specific experiences through a feminist lens that acknowledge vulnerability, weakness, and emotion. With the current international push for universities to increase the numbers of doctoral completions (Askew et al., 2016), this study is timely in adding to our current knowledge the success factors in doctoral supervisions at different stages of candidature, particularly when at least one person in the relationship is a female and/or of a minority ethnic background.

References:

- Askew, C., Dixon, R., McCormick, R., Callaghan, K., Wang, G., & Shulruf, B. (2016). Facilitators and barriers to doctoral supervision: A case study in health sciences. *Issues in Educational Research*, 26(1), 1–9.
- Brown, L., & Watson, P. (2010). Understanding the experiences of female doctoral students. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 34(3), 385–404.
- Dedrick, R.F., & Watson, F. (2002). Mentoring needs of female, minority, and international graduate students: A content analysis of academic research guides and related print

- material. *Mentoring and Tutoring*, 10(3), 275–289.
- Deuchar, R. (2008). Facilitator, director, or critical friend? Contradiction and congruence in doctoral supervision styles. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 13(4), 489–500.
- Hall, C.M. (2004). Reflexivity and tourism research: Situating myself and/with others. In J. Phillimore & L. Goodson, eds., *Qualitative Research in Tourism: Ontologies, Epistemologies, and Methodologies* (pp. 137–155). London: Routledge.
- Hemer, S.R. (2012). Informality, power, and relationships in postgraduate supervision: Supervising PhD candidates over coffee. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 31(6), 827–839.
- Johnson-Bailey, J. (2004). Hitting and climbing the proverbial wall: Participation and retention issues for Black graduate women. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 7(4), 331–349.
- Trudgett, M. (2014). Supervision provided to Indigenous Australian doctoral students: A black and white issue. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 33(5), 1035–1048.